





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference ACDPA5210PWO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ee Notification or reliminary Examinat	f Transmittal of International ion Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/DE2003/002684	International filing date (day/mo 08 August 2003 (08.08.		date (day/month/year) August 2002 (16.08.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no G07F 17/12						
Applicant DEUTSCHE POST AG						
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	9 sheets, including	nis cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total	of 6 sheets.					
3. This report contains indications relations	ng to the following items:	3.				
I Basis of the report	I Basis of the report					
II Priority						
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty, in	entive step and indu	strial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of inven	tion					
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cite	VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report						
10 February 2004 (10.02.2	004)	19 November 2	004 (19.11.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized	officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Facsimile No.	Telephone	Telephone No.				

INTERNATIONAL PRE NARY EXAMINATION REPORT

nternational application No.	
/DE2003/002684	• •

I. I	I. Basis of the report									
1.	With	regard to	the elements of	he internation	al application:*					
		the inte	rnational applicat	ion as originall	y filed					•
	$\overline{\nabla}$	the desc	cription:							
'	لاست	pages				2-10			. as ori	ginally filed
		pages								the demand
		pages		1,1a,1b,1c		, filed	with the letter of	27 Octo	ber 2004 (27.	
		•				· ·				
		the clair	ms:							
		pages	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 -				ginally filed
		pages				,	as amended (togethe			
		pages			·····				, filed with	
		pages		1-7	 -	, filed	with the letter of	27 Octo	ber 2004 (27.	10.2004)
ĺ	\boxtimes	the drav	vings:					*		
		pages			1/	/3-3/3		•	, as or	iginally filed
		pages					·		, filed with	the demand
		pages					with the letter of			
٠.	乛.	he reque	nce listing part of						÷.	
1	٬ لــــ		•	•			•	,		
		pages _					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	, as or	
		pages _							, filed with	
		pages _				, illec	with the letter of		~	
		the lang the lang	guage of publication	or furnished to ion furnished f on of the intern	this Authority or the purposes ational applica	in the follow s of internation tion (under I	ing language onal search (under F	Rule 23.1(b)		
3. !	With	regard minary ex	to any nucleotic amination was ca	le and/or and cried out on the	ino acid seq e basis of the s	uence discle equence listi	osed in the internance:	ational app	lication, the	international
ļ	Щ	containe	ed in the internation	onal application	n in written for	m.	•			
		filed tog	gether with the int	ernational app	lication in com	puter readab	le form.			
ļ		furnishe	d subsequently to	this Authority	in written for	n.				
ļ		furnishe	d subsequently to	this Authority	in computer r	eadable form	·.	- 4		
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go bey international application as filed has been furnished.							t go beyo	nd the disclo	osure in the	
İ		The stat		nformation rec	corded in com	puter readab	le form is identica	l to the wi	ritten sequence	e listing has
. 1	_							* **	. ** .	. (
4.			endments have res							
		⊣ "	he description, pa he claims, Nos	ges			4.			<u>.</u>
		L] ti	he drawings, shee	ts/fig					•	•
5. [nd not been made, s (Rule 70.2(c)).**	since they h	ave been cons	sidered to go
		•	•	•					. And 1 14	
iı	n thi						response to an invi rt since they do r			
** 4	nv re	nlacemei	nt sheet containin	such amendn	ients must be r	eierred to u	ider item 1 and ann	exed to this	report.	

INTERNATIONAL PREMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.					
	PC' E 03/02684				

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 2 citations and explanations supporti	35(2) with regard to a	novelty, inventive step or industrial appli	cability;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-7	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-7	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

1. Cited documents

This preliminary examination report makes reference to the following documents cited in the search report; the same numbering will be used throughout the procedure:

- D1: WO 01 31593 A (SMITH GOWER; IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD (AU)), 3 May 2001 (2001-05-03)
- D2: WO 01 00069 A (EXPRESS PAX LTD; STEVENS RICHARD JOHN (GB)), 4 January 2001 (2001-01-04)
- D3: WO 01 52199 A (CLAUSEN OLAF), 19 July 2001 (2001-07-19)
- D4: WO 01 41605 A (GUARANTEED DELIVERIES COM), 14
 June 2001 (2001-06-14)
- D5: DE 100 33 664 A (FASBENDER JOERG; WEBER GERALD (DE)), 13 September 2001 (2001-09-13)
- D6: FR-A-2 561 949 (ARC SERVICES), 4 October 1985 (1985-10-04)

2. PCT Article 34(2)(b):

2.1 In the new claim, the former claim 8 has been

combined with parts of the features of the former claims 1, 5 and 6.

This combination of claims was not part of the original application.

In particular, the first alternative in the original claim 8 provided for the electronic parcel mailbox installation to generate if necessary a postal mail identification code when a postal mail was delivered (first alternative preceded by and/or). There is no basis in the original application for the combination of this alternative with features of claims 1, 5 and 6; the present claim 1 therefore does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 34(2)(b).

On the contrary, the other alternative provided in the original claim 8, i.e. the <u>detection</u> by the electronic parcel mailbox installation of a postal mail identification code when postal mail is delivered, corresponds to the original claim 1 and can therefore also be combined with the original claims 5 and 6.

2.2 Moreover, the original claim 8 states that the postal mail identification codes are is detected and/or evaluated by a data processing system that stores transport data during subsequent postal mail handling operations. However, this implies that the identification code was previously stored. The inclusion in the original claim 8 of the second feature of the characterising part of the original claim 1 gives to a person skilled in the art additional information, i.e. where the storage can

International application No.
PCT 03/02684

take place. In this respect, the new claim 1 thus also fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 34(2)(b).

2.3 The new claim 1 contains the features of the original claims 5 and 6. According to the original claim 5, the presence "of a sum of money and/or information having a predeterminable monetary value" was determined. The original claim 6, which referred back to the original claim 5, contained however the restriction that the identification code contained the information of monetary value.

This means that there is no basis in the original application under PCT Article 34(2)(b) for the alternative in the original claim 5 according to which the presence of a sum of money is determined, in combination with the additional feature of the original claim 6. Only the alternative in which the presence of information of monetary value is determined has a basis in the original application, in combination with the additional feature of the original claim 6.

2.4 According to page 6, lines 21-26 of the original application, the number circles (S, M, L, XL, F) on the label stand in direct relation to the mailbox size (S, M, L, XL) and when an identification code or identification belonging to the number circle S is scanned or detected, only a small mailbox is opened.

Number circles related to particular price levels are not mentioned anywhere; in lines 17-20, only a possible price scale according to mailbox size or a

PCT 03/02684

price valid for all parcels is vaguely mentioned.

Consequently, the features on lines 21-25 of the new claim 1 have no basis in the original application; this addition does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 34(2)(b).

- 2.5 A corresponding observation also applies to the corresponding passages on pages 1 and 1c of the description.
- 3. Novelty, inventive step:
- 3.1 Preliminary observation:

 The number and type of objections raised against the present application under PCT Article 34(2)(b) and PCT Article 6 make it difficult to assess the claims for novelty and inventive step.

3.2 Claim 1:

D1 discloses a method for conveying postal mail using an electronic parcel mailbox installation. The customer can pay a predeterminable sum of money at the parcel mailbox installation and an identification code containing information of monetary value is provided (since credit is rendered possible by the identification code); see page 3, lines 2-13; page 4, lines 2-6; page 5, lines 1-22; page 6, lines 25-27; page 8, lines 4-5; page 12, lines 7-34.

D2 also discloses a method for conveying postal mail provided with an identification code (package code on page 3, paragraph 2; item code on page 4, paragraph 2: package ID on page 11, paragraph 1) in

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

a mailbox of an electronic parcel mailbox installation (box). The identification code is detected and stored in the electronic mailbox installation (page 11, paragraph 1, lines 4-6).

D3 discloses a method for conveying postal mail in which a parcel number is detected and transmitted to a central administration computer for controlling the movement of goods (see the passages cited in the search report and page 5, line 14 - page 6, line 16).

D4 also relates to a method of this type (see page 13, lines 10-19; page 19, lines 7-30).

In each of the documents D1-D4, the electronic parcel mailbox installation detects, when postal mail is delivered, the identification code (by means of a bar code, RF or IR connection, etc.). The electronic parcel mailbox installation of the systems in D1 (abstract), D2 (page 8, last paragraph; page 13, paragraphs 4-6; figure 8), D3 (page 9, line 36 - page 10, line 17) and D4 (page 19, line 31 - page 21, line 8) are designed to receive and identify postal mail to be sent. This implied the use of the identification code during subsequent handling steps, when that is not already explicitly mentioned.

Moreover, a person skilled in the field of mail sorting generally knows that an identification code can be applied to sort and route postal mail, the identification referring to address or sorting information in a data base (in particular in the field of automatic address recognition associated

with video coding stations instead of address information which is also applied to the postal mail).

Each of the documents D1 to D4 therefore discloses the essential features of claim 1 of the present application.

The features which distinguish the subject matter of claim 1 of the present application from those documents are generally known to a person skilled in the art, when their use for the same purpose as in the present application is not already known from each of the other documents D1 to D4. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 is not inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

3.3 Dependent claims 2-7:

The features of the dependent claims are generally known to a person skilled in the art, insofar as their use for the same purpose as in the present application is not already known from the search report citations, and therefore also fail to involve an inventive step.

4. Box VII:

4.1 The features of the claims are not followed by reference signs in parentheses (in order to improve the readability of the claims). This applies to both the preamble and the characterising part (see PCT Guidelines III-4.11).

5. Box VIII:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/03/02684

- 5.1 The present claim 1 no longer indicates that postal mail is delivered to a box of the electronic parcel mailbox installation. However, reference is subsequently made to said box in lines 21 and 24.

 Claim 1 thus does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 for clarity.
- 5.2 Claim 1 contains the words "and/or" in lines 7, 11, 12 and 20. As a result, claim 1 contains a total of 16 alternatives, making it difficult to determine the subject matter for which protection is sought. Also for this reason, claim 1 does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 for clarity and conciseness. See, in this respect, also points 2.1 and 2.3 above.
- It is not clear from the wording of claim 1 whether a delivery agent delivers postal mail which he already transported to the parcel mailbox installation or whether a customer delivers postal mail to be conveyed by a delivery agent to a box of a parcel mailbox installation. Also for this reason, claim 1 does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 for clarity. Moreover, this lack of clarity makes it possible to read in claim 1 features from documents D1 to D4 which relate to the one or the other situation.
- According to claim 1, in certain circumstances no check is carried out to determine whether the identification code contains information having a predeterminable monetary value, only a check whether a sum of money is present. Claim 1 can thus also be construed to apply to a situation in which a customer pays for some service or product at the

parcel mailbox installation. The simple fact that the identification code contains information of monetary value could also be broadly interpreted and includes, for example, the case of an extra stamp affixed to the parcel.

- 5.5 The sequence of process steps mentioned in lines 8-13 of claim 1 is not logical and is therefore unclear. Normally, information is first stored and then analysed.
- 5.6 It is not clear what is the relationship between the price scale and the information having a predeterminable monetary value mentioned in line 20 of claim 1.
- 5.7 No examples of the embodiment in independent claim 1 are depicted in the drawings, since the customer always selects a mailbox size.